

010264



anonymous@yucca-web2.ymmp.gov on 07/06/2001 09:27:42 PM

RECEIVED

JUL 09 2001

To: EISR, Bonnie Fogdall/YM/RWDOE
cc:

Subject: SDEIS Comment

Part of Records Package / Supplement / Correction

July 06, 2001 21:27:42

IP address: 63.208.40.206

---> Commentors Name: Ted and Mary Warner
---> Organization:
---> Position:

---> The Commentors Address:
---> 251 Longview
---> St. Louis, Missouri 63122

---> Email Information:
---> tedmarw@yahoo.com
---> Add commentor to the mailing list : yes

---> Contact Information:
---> fax number : 314-7252724
---> phone number : 314-9656937
---> organization :
---> position :

--> Comment Text :

1... Thank you for requesting additional comments on the proposed transport and storage of spent nuclear waste at the Yucca Mountain Site. After reviewing the Supplement to the Draft Environmental Impact Statement, we continue to be opposed to the transport to and storage of nuclear waste at the Yucca Mountain site. The State of Nevada is opposed to using the site for storage. The State of Missouri, City of St. Louis and many of the municipalities in the region are also against transport through our area. We are still opposed to this plan, and believe the waste should remain in the area where it was produced. It should not be transported most of the way across

1 cont. the country to be stored in someone else's back yard. Even if it is determined that the storage should be at Yucca Mountain, it makes no sense to transport the material through heavily populated areas. Even though the chance of a leak is remote, the chance does exist, and it would be much more tragic if a leak were to occur in a heavily populated area. Please reconsider this whole strategy.

Thank you.

~~~~~